

NRHH Board Room Minutes, No Frills 2007

March 10, 2007

Started late at 10:50 am

Motion by Appalachian State, Seconded by Radford to wave the reading of the SAACURH 2006 minutes. PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Motion by NC State, Seconded by Southern Mississippi State.

Legislation

- a. MM T: Renaming of NRHH Representative to NRHH Communication Coordinator: Removed from Agenda because motion was not passed in NCC Boardroom.
- b. MM P: Award Changes in NRHH Policy Book
 - i. Motion to vote by Winthrop, seconded by University of Georgia
 - ii. Vote by secret ballot (for, against, abstain)
 - iii. Motion passes 28-0-0
- c. Selective Alumni Status: Overview by Ron Hamner
- d. MM V: Correction to Election of Regional NRHH Advisor
 - i. Motion to vote by Virginia Tech, seconded by Murray State
 - ii. Motion to vote by placard by FAU, seconded by Kennesaw State
 - iii. Vote by placard
 - iv. Motion passes 28-0-0

Motion by Florida Atlantic to move National Level Discussion earlier in agenda, seconded by Embry Riddle, PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

NRHH National Level Discussion

- a. Presentation by Ron Hamner about RAD/Leadership in NRHH
- b. Motion to vote on mission statement by Kennesaw State seconded by Valdosta
- c. Vote by placard
- d. NRHH National Vision/Mission Statements passes 28-0-0

Motion to recess for lunch by UAB, seconded by VA Tech. Recessed 11:55am.

Called back into session at 1:22 pm.

NRHH Building Block Chapter of the Year

- a. Appalachian State University
 - a. PRO: OTM Awareness
 - b. CON: Article 3 awareness
 - c. PRO: ties with RHA
 - d. CON: fundraising
 - e. PRO: increased nominations
 - f. PRO: OTM specialist

- g. PRO: detailed goals
- b. Florida State University
 - a. PRO: expanding membership
 - b. CON: no quorum in constitution
 - c. PRO: use of OCM
 - d. CON: wordiness of bid
 - e. PRO: good use of theme
 - f. CON: lack of information for scholarship criteria
 - g. PRO: Facebook utilization
 - h. PRO: support
 - i. PRO: tapping decorations
 - j. POINT OF INFORMATION (University of Florida): Article IV, Section III: FSU quorum is defined as $2/3 + 1$ of active membership
- c. UNC- Chapel Hill
 - a. PRO: good use of theme
 - b. PRO: great recognition projects
 - c. PRO: diverse applicants
- d. Discussion:
 - a. Chapel Hill and ASU included OTM stats, while FSU did not.
 - b. POINT OF CLARIFICATION: FARH Building Block award does not exist
 - c. ASU increases quantity rather than quality, but other did not.
 - d. UNC won NCARH most improved NRHH chapter.
 - e. ASU has clear evaluation of goals and objectives while others did not
 - f. POINT OF INFORMATION: UNC increased quality OTMS.
 - g. FSU and UNC Chapel Hill show great creative ways to recognize OTMs while ASU did not
 - h. FSU and UNC show creativity in recognition while ASU does not
 - i. ASU received more OTMs while FSU and USC did not.
 - j. ASU shows a strong programming for OTM while FSU and UNC do not.
 - k. FSU applicants have to have a 3.0, ASU and UNC do not.
 - l. FSU membership went through extreme overhauling in number, ASU and UNC did not.
- e. All in favor of voting.
- f. Motion to vote by UAB, seconded by UNC Wilmington.
- g. Appalachian State University receives award

NRHH Outstanding Chapter of the Year

- a. Georgia Southern University
 - a. PRO: Community service
 - b. CON: Grammar and Wordiness of Bid
 - c. PRO: National OTMs
 - d. CON: Low GPA requirement
 - e. PRO: Good use of theme
 - f. CON: Old advisor wrote new recommendation
 - g. PRO: won many regional and national awards

- h. CON: only one letter of support
 - i. PRO: article X
 - j. CON: nothing about leadership
 - k. PRO: global philanthropy
 - l. CON: 50% of active members for quorum
 - m. CON: vagueness
 - n. PRO: campus events
 - o. PRO: support of NRHH membership
 - p. PRO: detail
- b. UNC-Wilmington
- a. PRO: Multiple letters of support
 - b. POINT OF INFORMATION: number of letters of support not detailed in policy book
 - c. CON: redundancy of quotes
 - d. PRO: resource utilization
 - e. CON: no regional or state OTM winners
 - f. PRO: website
 - g. PRO: active campus participation
 - h. POINT OF CLARIFICATION: list of state winners on page 6
 - i. PRO: programming achievement for size of chapter
 - j. CON: small font on page 3 (NCSU)
 - k. PRO: OTM public recognition
 - l. PRO: conference representation
 - m. PRO: growth and direction
 - n. CON: wordiness
 - o. PRO: OTM and T-shirt initiative
 - p. PRO: chapter started new tradition
 - q. CON: low GPA requirement
 - r. PRO: included membership thoughts
 - s. PRO: officer biographies
- c. Discussion:
- a. GA Southern included winning OTMS, UNCW did not
 - b. UNCW maintained membership and advisers; GA Southern did not
 - c. UNCW has lots of support, GSU does not
 - d. UNCW has a lot of recognition for OTM winners, GSU does not
 - e. UNCW shows growth of programming, GSU does not
 - f. UNCW has clear goals while GSU does not
 - g. Both has GPA, but GSU is higher than UNCW
 - h. GSU included national OTM winning bids but UNCW does not
 - i. UNCW offered student input in bid, GSU did not
 - j. UNCW has shown high conference participation, GSU does not
 - k. UNCW holds SBE members, GSU does not
 - l. GSU included advisor goals while UNCW does not
 - m. UNCW has a lot of space filler, GSU does not
- d. University of North Carolina – Wilmington wins bid

POINT OF INFORMATION: (UVA WISE) What does First Year Mean? Answer: First Year Involvement in Residence Life

First Year Experience

- a. University of Florida
 - a. PRO: Disney theme
 - b. CON: two periods on page 1
 - c. PRO: very involved
 - d. CON: difficult to read b/c of graphics
 - e. PRO: only elected officer in hall
 - f. CON: uses unfamiliar terms (acronyms)
 - g. CON: no reference detail on page 2
 - h. CON: no name on cover page
 - i. POINT OF INFORMATION: Information is not concurrent from NACURH 2006 – NACURH 2007, therefore ineligible
 - j. OVERRIDDEN by SAACURH director; BID IS ELIGIBLE
- b. Georgia Southern University
 - a. PRO:
 - b. CON: lack of information and support
 - c. PRO:
 - d. CON: 2.66 PA
 - e. PRO: use of theme
 - f. CON: grammar and punctuation
 - g. PRO:
 - h. CON: shares irrelevant information
 - i. PRO: concise
 - j. CON: only one recommendation from student
 - k. PRO: putting forth effort
 - l. CON:
 - m. PRO:
 - n. CON:
 - o. PRO:
 - p. CON:
- c. Florida Atlantic University
 - a. PRO: well written
 - b. CON: GPA is not stated
 - c. PRO: campus involvement
 - d. CON: letter of recommendation on page 6
 - e. PRO: recommendation in page 5 is collaboration
 - f. CON: poor grammar
 - g. PRO: received certificate of appreciation
 - h. POINT OF CLARIFICATION: page 6 is statement of academic standing
 - i. CON: only one letter of support
 - j. PRO: selected as an RA
 - k. CON: excess pre-college information

- l. PRO:
 - m. CON: campus involvement is Facebook
 - n. PRO: personal and professional goals
 - o. CON:
 - p. PRO:
 - q. CON:
 - r. PRO:
 - s. CON:
- d. UVA Wise
- a. PRO: Involved student athlete
 - b. CON: lots of bare space
 - c. PRO: good reviews from peers
 - d. CON: peer quotes have no authors
 - e. PRO: RHA involvements and conference attendance
 - f. CON: This is not NACURH
 - g. PRO: good letter of recommendation
 - h. CON: readability of personal letter
 - i. PRO:
 - j. CON: redundant letters of recommendations
 - k. PRO:
 - l. CON: no school on cover page
 - m. PRO: uses the word “jamming” often
 - n. CON: academic standing is hidden in letter in recommendation (withdrawn)
 - o. PRO:
 - p. CON: academic standing is hidden in letter of recommendation
 - q. PRO:
 - r. CON: bid structure
 - s. PRO:
 - t. CON: small font in personal letter and no signature
 - u. PRO:
- e. Elon University
- a. PRO: OTM Winner
 - b. CON: no signature for personal recommendation
 - c. PRO: academic achievements
 - d. CON: irrelevant information in bid
 - e. PRO: RSA involvement
 - f. CON: less than stellar theme
 - g. PRO: involved in conference
 - h. CON: academic standing only shown in personal statement
 - i. PRO: campus involvement and community service
 - j. CON:
 - k. PRO: leadership training
 - l. CON:
 - m. PRO: OTM winner
 - n. CON:

- f. University of Central Florida
 - a. PRO: GPA
 - b. CON: poor sentence structure
 - c. PRO: campus involvement
 - d. CON: bid information is specific to the chapter, not to individual awards
 - e. PRO: creative programming
 - f. CON: variations in point of view
 - g. PRO: won an exec position for RSA next year
 - h. CON:
 - i. PRO:
 - j. CON:
 - k. PRO:
 - l. CON: no signature on personal narrative
 - m. PRO:
- g. Western Carolina University
 - a. PRO: Co chair of a conference
 - b. CON: poor sentence structure
 - c. PRO: creativity
 - d. CON: useless information in bid
 - e. PRO: specific goals
 - f. CON: no signature on personal letter
 - g. PRO: well rounded involvement
 - h. CON: one letter of recommendation
 - i. PRO: organized
 - j. CON:
 - k. PRO: theme
 - l. CON:
 - m. PRO: 37 hours freshman year
 - n. CON:
- h. Discussion
 - a. WCU has extensive leaderships conference and training, others do not.
 - b. All of candidates had professional letters, GSU did not.
 - c. Move to close to discussion to preliminary vote by UNC Chapel Hill, seconded by UF. UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.
- i. VOTE: Write down top three universities.
 - a. Western Carolina University
 - b. Elon University
 - c. University of Central Florida

Motion for 5 minute recess by VA Tech, seconded by FAU, UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

Resumed at 3:35 pm

Motion to call to question, skipping discussion by UVA Wise, seconded by NC State Passes 16-7-0

Motion to vote by FAU, seconded by Columbus State
Passes 17-6-0

j. Western Carolina University wins award

SEAHO Student of the Year

- a. Georgia Tech
 - a. PRO: founded a scholarship
 - b. CON: not too much about housing, a lot on student government
 - c. PRO: academics
 - d. CON:
 - e. PRO: leadership
 - f. CON: large graphics
 - g. PRO:
 - h. CON: no happy pictures
 - i. PRO: seems spirited
 - j. CON: hard to read, format and font
 - k. PRO:
 - l. CON: no conference experience
 - m. PRO:
 - n. CON: no letters of support
 - o. POINT OF INFORMATION: one written comment of support
 - p. PRO:
- b. Radford University
 - a. PRO: lot of support from school
 - b. CON: we're not PACURH
 - c. PRO: conference experience
 - d. CON:
 - e. PRO: housing leadership experience
 - f. CON: RAs are supposed to hold programs
 - g. PRO: GAP
 - h. CON:
 - i. PRO: holds an exec position for RHA
 - j. CON:
 - k. PRO: creative programs
 - l. CON:
- c. NC State University
 - a. PRO: Student affairs interest
 - b. CON: theme and bid information do not match
 - c. PRO: ACUHI-I internship
 - d. CON: front page says for NACARH 2006
 - e. PRO:
 - f. CON: unnecessary graphics
 - g. PRO: list of awards includes academic awards
 - h. POINT OF INFORMATION: foot at bottom says No Frills 2007

- i. CON: little personalization
- j. PRO: conference experience
- k. CON: hard to follow
- l. PRO: professional conference experience
- m. CON:
- n. PRO: two letters of support
- o. CON:
- p. PRO: programming experience b/c of RA position
- q. CON
- d. University of Florida
 - a. PRO: evaluations of leadership
 - b. CON: talking in third person
 - c. PRO: GPA
 - d. CON: wordy
 - e. PRO: serious letter of recommendation from AD of housing
 - f. CON:
 - g. PRO: OTMs and awards
 - h. CON:
 - i. PRO: financial responsibility
 - j. CON:
- e. UVA Wise
 - a. PRO:
 - b. CON: majority of bid is letters of support
 - c. PRO: NRHH member
 - d. CON: most of bid is stating "Dear VACURH" (letters of recommendation do not reflect award being bid for"
 - e. PRO:
 - f. CON: letters of support with no signatures
 - g. PRO: conference experience
 - h. CON: entire page of quotes without authors
 - i. PRO: campus involvement
 - j. CON: no table of contents
 - k. PRO:
 - l. CON: theme is underdeveloped
 - m. PRO:
 - n. CON: redundant letters of recommendation
 - o. PRO:
- f. UNC Wilmington
 - a. PRO: member of NRHH
 - b. CON: bullets are hard to follow
 - c. PRO: community service
 - d. CON:
 - e. PRO: NACURH 2007 Student of the Year
 - f. CON:
 - g. PRO: GPA

- h. CON: some of accomplishments are not personal
- i. PRO: good use of theme
- j. CON:
- k. PRO: scholarships
- l. CON:
- m. PRO: campus involvement
- n. CON:

Motion to select top two candidates by VA Tech, seconded by Columbus State, PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

Top two candidates: UNC Wilmington
Radford University

- g. Discussion:
- h. Motion to call to question by Western Carolina, seconded by Southern Mississippi State PASSED UNANIMOUSLY
- i. Motion to vote by Columbus State, seconded by Radford University
- j. Radford University wins award

NRHH Member of the Year

- A. Georgia Southern University
 - i. PRO: evidence of theme throughout bid
 - ii. CON: a lot of writing
 - iii. PRO: GAP
 - iv. CON: school name only visible in latter
 - v. PRO: expanded chapter with loss of advisor
 - vi. CON: difficult to read with graphic background
 - vii. PRO: trained her advisor
 - viii. CON:
 - ix. PRO: NACURH experience
 - x. CON:
 - xi. PRO: volunteering
 - xii. CON:
- B. Radford University
 - i. PRO: concise
 - ii. CON: page 3 NRHH is not about recognizing the top 1 %
 - iii. PRO:
 - iv. CON: use of explicit text
 - v. PRO:
- C. Discussion

Motion to question by ASU, second by NC State, Passes 25-0-1

Motion to vote by NC State, seconded by UTK, PASSED

D. Georgia Southern University

Bill presents Ron with a thank you gift.

Motion to Close by UF, seconded by Southern Miss, PASSED.

Closed at 5:08 pm.